1	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Josh A. Krevitt (SBN 208552)	
2	jkrevitt@gibsondunn.com	
3	200 Park Avenue, 47th Floor New York, New York 10166	
4	Tel: (212) 351-4000	
5	Fax: (212) 351-4035	
6	Attorney for Plaintiff Fitbit, Inc.	
7	(Additional Counsel for Plaintiff listed below signature line)	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
10	FITBIT, INC.	Case No: 3:17-CV-1139-WHO
11	Plaintiff,	CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT
12	v.	Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick
13	ALIPHCOM d/b/a JAWBONE and BODYMEDIA, INC.	Date Transferred: Mar. 6, 2017
14	Defendants.	Date: November 7, 2017 Time: 2:00 PM
15		Courtroom 2 – 17 th Floor
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2526		
26 27		
28		

Case Management Conference Statement Case No. 3:17-CV-1139-WHO

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Plaintiff Fitbit, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "Fitbit") hereby submits this follow-up Case Management Statement. Counsel for Defendants AliphCom d/b/a Jawbone and BodyMedia, Inc. (collectively, "Jawbone") has now withdrawn from the case, as of September 13, 2017, and Jawbone remains unrepresented. As a result, Fitbit has not had any communication with Jawbone about this case since that time, and it is unable to submit a joint statement.

In view of Jawbone's lack of representation and inability to participate in this case, and as a result of discovery that Fitbit has been able to obtain against Jawbone in ongoing parallel litigation, Fitbit intends to move for a default judgment against Jawbone within 45 days of the Case Management Conference.

1. <u>JURISDICTION AND SERVICE</u>

The action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq*. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 2201.

All parties have been served and no party challenges personal jurisdiction or venue.

2. **FACTS**

The following facts are presently undisputed:

- a) Fitbit is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business located in San Francisco, California.
- b) AliphCom d/b/a Jawbone ("Jawbone") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California with its principal place of business located in San Francisco, California.
- c) BodyMedia, Inc. ("BodyMedia") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business located in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. BodyMedia is a wholly owned subsidiary of AliphCom.
- d) Plaintiffs and Defendant both manufacture and sell wearable fitness trackers.
- e) U.S. Patent No. 8,868,377 (the "'377 patent"), entitled "Portable Monitoring Devices and Methods of Operating Same," was issued on October 21, 2014.
- f) U.S. Patent No. 8,920,332 (the "'332 patent"), entitled "Wearable Heart Rate Monitor," was issued on December 30, 2014.

2728

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

- g) U.S. Patent No. 9,089,760 (the "'760 patent"), entitled "System and Method for Activating a Device Based on a Record of Physical Activity," was issued on July 28, 2015.
- h) Jurisdiction and venue exist over the Plaintiff in this Court.
- i) Jurisdiction and venue exist over the Defendants in this Court.

3. <u>LEGAL ISSUES</u>

As of September 13, 2017, the disputed legal issues were:

- a) Whether Jawbone has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '377 patent, directly, indirectly, and/or willfully.
- b) Whether Jawbone has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '332 patent, directly, indirectly, and/or willfully.
- c) Whether the '377 patent is valid.
- d) Whether the '332 patent is valid.
- e) Whether this action is an "exceptional case" under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- f) Whether Fitbit is entitled to damages from Jawbone, and if so, the amount of damages due.
- g) Whether this action warrants the entry of an injunction against Jawbone and its affiliates, subsidiaries, officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, representatives, licensees, successors, assigns, and all those acting for them and on their behalf.

4. **MOTIONS**

As noted above, Fitbit intends to file a motion for default judgment against Jawbone within 45 days of the Case Management Conference.

5. <u>AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS</u>

The parties have not yet amended their existing pleadings. Nevertheless, prior to the withdrawal of Jawbone's counsel, Jawbone agreed that Fitbit could amend its complaint to withdraw the '760 patent from the patents at issue in this case.

3

2

5

7

8 9 10

12 13

11

14151617

18 19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28

6. **EVIDENCE PRESERVATION**

Counsel for the parties previously reviewed this Court's E-Discovery (ESI) Guidelines. The parties took steps to preserve relevant evidence, including the issuance of a document retention notice to individuals and document custodians reasonably likely to have information subject to discovery in this litigation.

7. RELATED CASES

No cases are related to this action under Local Rule 3-12. *See* Dkt. 50. Nevertheless, there are presently five other lawsuits pending between Fitbit and Jawbone. Fitbit filed two other lawsuits against Jawbone, both of which are in this district. Case No. 15-cv-04073 is pending before Judge Davila. Case No. 16-cv-00118 is pending before Judge Beth Labson Freeman.

Jawbone previously filed three cases against Fitbit. Case No. CGC 15-546004 in the Superior Court of the State of California (San Francisco County) is still in fact discovery, with trial set for April 30, 2018. Jawbone's second case was filed in the U.S. International Trade Commission ("ITC"). After the ITC issued a final determination in favor of Fitbit, finding no violation by Fitbit, Jawbone appealed that determination to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, which is set for oral argument on November 9, 2017. Jawbone's third case—Case No. 4:15-cv-2579—is in this District before Judge Gilliam, but is currently stayed pending the final outcome of Jawbone's ITC Investigation.

8. <u>DISCLOSURES</u>

The parties have made their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1).

9. **DISCOVERY**

No discovery has been taken to date in this action, but the parties have agreed that documents produced in two International Trade Commission investigations (337-TA-963 and 337-TA-973), as well as in the District Court cases mentioned above, may be used in this case.

Jawbone's deadline to serve invalidity contentions in this case was September 25, 2017, and it failed to meet that deadline, as it is no longer represented by counsel.

2

4

5

67

8

9 10

1112

13

1415

16

17 18

19

20

2122

__

23

24

2.5

25

23

26

27

28

10. **RELIEF**

Plaintiff seeks the relief requested in its Complaint, Dkt. 1—namely, an award of all available damages, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, including actual/compensatory damages and statutory damages in the form of lost profits or a reasonable royalty, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses; the entry of an order declaring this to be an exceptional case; and a judgment that permanently enjoins Defendant and its affiliates, subsidiaries, officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, representatives, licensees, successors, assigns, and all those acting for them and on their behalf from further infringement, direct and indirect, of the patents-in-suit.

11. **SCHEDULING**

On September 13, 2017, the Court granted Defendants' counsel's unopposed motion to withdraw from the case. Since then, as noted above, the deadlines for Defendants to comply with their obligations under the modified schedule, including the deadline to serve patent invalidity contentions and the obligation to communicate with Plaintiff Fitbit, have now passed. Accordingly, as noted above, Fitbit intends to file a motion for default judgment.

12. <u>DISCLOSURE OF NON-PARTY INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS</u>

The parties have filed their respective Certifications of Interested Entities or Persons as required by Civil Local Rule 3-15.

Plaintiff and Defendants restate the contents of their certificate, Dkts. 4 & 11.

13. **PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT**

All attorneys of record for the parties have reviewed the Guidelines for Professional Conduct for the Northern District of California.

Dated: October 31, 2017

By: /s/ Frederick S. Chung

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

JOSH A. KREVITT (SBN 208552)

jkrevitt@gibsondunn.com

200 Park Avenue, 47th Floor New York, NY 10166

Tel: (212) 351-4000

Case Management Conference Statement Case No. 3:17-CV-1139-WHO

1	Fax: (212) 351-4035
2	JASON C. LO (SBN 219030)
3	jlo@gibsondunn.com 2029 Century Park East
4	Los Angeles, CA 90067-3026 Tel: (310) 552-8500 Fax: (310) 551-8741
5	
6	MARK N. REITER (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) <u>mreiter@gibsondunn.com</u> 2100 McKinney Avenue
7	Dallas, TX 75201-6912 Tel: (214) 698-3100
8	Fax: (214) 571-2900
9	FREDERICK S. CHUNG fchung@gibsondunn.com
10	RYAN IWAHASHI riwahashi@gibsondunn.com
11	1881 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304
12	Tel: (650) 849-5300 Fax: (650) 849-5333
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff Fitbit, Inc.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Case Management Conference Statement Case No. 3:17-CV-1139-WHO

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 31, 2017, I caused to be electronically filed the foregoing Notice of Appearance of Counsel with the Clerk of the Court via CM/ECF. Notice of this filing will be sent by email to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing systems.

Dated: October 31, 2017 /s/ Frederick S. Chung

Frederick S. Chung

Case Management Conference Statement Case No. 3:17-CV-1139-WHO